## **FACT SHEET** PART III, OPERATING UNIT 11, THE INTEGRATED DISPOSAL FACILITY



This page intentionally left blank.



# FACT SHEET PART III, OPERATING UNIT 11, THE INTEGRATED DISPOSAL FACILITY

3 4 **U** 

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

#### UNIT DESCRIPTION

- 5 The Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF) is an expandable lined landfill in Hanford's 200 East Area. The
- 6 IDF is on 25 hectares of vacant land southwest of the PUREX Plant in the 200 East Area.
- 7 The landfill is designed to hold four layers of waste containers separated vertically by 0.9-meters of soil.
- 8 The mission of the IDF will include:
  - Providing a disposal facility for the permanent, environmentally safe disposition of vitrified low-activity waste (LAW) packages that meets the environmental requirements. Low-activity wastes are radioactive wastes that contain very small concentrations of radionuclides. It is the less radioactive remainder of high-level waste after treatment to remove the more radioactive isotopes (mostly cesium-137).
  - Receiving vitrified LAW from the Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) and River Protection Project (RPP) tank operations Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System (DBVS) and dispose of this waste onsite.
  - Disposing of mixed low-level waste generated by IDF operations.
- 18 IDF is divided lengthwise into two separate cells. The west cell, for disposal of mixed waste, is included
- in this permit. The east cell, for disposal of low-level radioactive waste, is outside the scope of this
- 20 permit.
- 21 The Leachate Collection System (LCS) will segregate leachate collected from the individual cells. A high
- point down the center of the liner system will ensure the leachate from the permitted west cell does not
- contaminate the leachate from the east cell.
- 24 The Permittees will build the IDF in several phases. Today, the IDF is approximately 223 meters wide by
- 25 233 long by 14 meters deep. Its disposal capacity is 82,000 cubic meters of waste.
- As the Permittees need more disposal space, they will request permit modifications to expand IDF. By
- expanding the landfill only as more space is needed, there is less open area susceptible to collection of
- rainwater and subsequent leachate.
- 29 IDF's final size would be up to 446 meters wide by 555 meters long by 14 meters deep. The permitted
- 30 portion of the landfill would be half of that approximately 223 meters wide by 555 meters long by up to
- 31 14 meters deep. The capacity of the permitted side of IDF is up to 450,000 cubic meters.
- 32 IDF has a secondary leak detection system (SLDS). The SLDS provides access to the area just below the
- 33 Leak Detection System (LDS) sump area. The SLDS collects liquids from construction water and
- possibly other sources. The SLDS liners convey the liquids to the SLDS piping for monitoring and
- 35 removal.
- 36 The RCRA-permitted cell of the IDF includes a 90-day accumulation area for collection of leachate in a
- 37 large tank for the Leachate Collection and Removal System (LCRS) and the LDS, and a smaller portable
- 38 container for the SLDS. The leachate collection tanks are at the north end, very near the lined landfill.
- 39 The tanks are protected by secondary containment.
- The monitoring of the secondary containment will detect any leaks for the tank. When IDF is fully
- operational, the permit requires the Permittees to collect and sample the leachate before transferring it to a
- 42 treatment, storage, and disposal system. The Permittees must operate the leachate collection tank as
- 43 required by the generator provisions of WAC 173-303-200 and WAC 173-303-640 as referenced by
- 44 WAC 173-303-200.

- 1 Before disposal, all waste must meet land disposal restriction requirements in:
- Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 70.105.050(2).
- WAC 173-303-140.

4

22

23

38

- 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 268 (incorporated by reference in WAC 173-303-140).
- 5 Future landfill construction and design within IDF may change as disposal techniques improve or as
- 6 waste management needs dictate.

### 7 TYPE AND QUANTITY OF WASTE

- 8 To date, IDF has not received any dangerous waste. The facility is in a "pre-active life" status. WAC
- 9 173-303-040 defines the "active life" of a facility as "the period from the initial receipt of dangerous
- waste at the facility until the department receives certification of final closure." The IDF has not yet
- begun its official "active life."
- 12 Ecology has defined the "pre-active life" period as the time between the end of construction and 180 days
- before the receipt of waste. When the IDF is ready to receive and dispose of permitted waste, IDF will
- begin it's "active life." It will take about 6 months to make the facility ready for waste. When the
- Permittees are ready to begin the facility's active life and receive waste, they must submit a permit
- 16 modification to Ecology.

#### 17 BASIS FOR PERMIT CONDITIONS

- 18 This permit is intended to protect human health and the environment while ensuring proper disposal of
- 19 low-level radioactive waste and mixed waste at IDF. The scope of the unit-specific conditions is
- 20 restricted to the landfill operation and maintenance as necessary to dispose:
- Immobilized low-activity waste from the WTP.
  - Immobilized low-activity waste from the Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System.
  - IDF operational waste as identified in Addendum B.
- Future expansion of the dangerous and mixed waste cell, or disposal of wastes not specified in this permit
- is prohibited unless authorized through a modification of this Permit.
- 26 The permit conditions and addenda specifically address general waste management, waste analysis and
- waste acceptance, recordkeeping and reporting, security, preparedness and prevention, contingency plan,
- inspections, training plan, closure, post-closure, landfills and groundwater and groundwater monitoring.

#### 29 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

- 30 IDF has not yet received waste, and none is expected for at least a few years. During the pre-active life
- 31 phase, the Permittees will not put any dangerous or mixed waste (defined in WAC 173-303) in the IDF.
- The 180-day period is tied to IDF Conditions III.11.C.5 and III.11.C.6. These conditions require that
- before any wastes go to the IDF, the Permittees must submit all waste acceptance criteria to Ecology for
- 34 approval and incorporation into the Permit.
- Condition II.11.C.8 requires the Permittees to submit an ILAW Waste Form Technical Requirements
- Document before disposing of any vitrified waste in the IDF. The documents will help assure that each
- 37 glass formulation will adequately protect human health and the environment once disposed in the IDF.

#### Liquids Management

- During the pre-active life of the IDF, rainwater is expected to accumulate in all sumps designed for the
- 40 collection of leachate. Condition II.11.S.6 allows this liquid not to be designated as leachate until the
- Permittees dispose of waste in the IDF. During pre-active life, the Permittees will manage this water as

- 1 rainwater using the pollution prevention and best management practices required by State Waste
- 2 Discharge Permit Number ST 4511.
- 3 At least six months before the Permittees dispose of any waste at the IDF, Condition III.11.S.5.b.i
- 4 requires them to submit a Leachate Monitoring and Management Plan to Ecology for approval and
- 5 incorporation into the permit. They must also submit a Sub-surface Liquids Monitoring and Operations
- 6 Plan to Ecology (Condition III.11.S.5.d). This plan will include:
  - Monitoring frequency.

7

8

10

11

- Pressure transducer configuration.
- Liquid collection processes.
  - Sampling, analysis, and response actions for liquids in the Secondary Leak Detection System.

#### **Groundwater Monitoring and Risk Budget Tool**

- 12 Ecology does not have enough information as to whether the IDF groundwater monitoring plan is
- 13 adequate or complete. Normally, we would evaluate the Groundwater Monitoring Plan before issuing a
- final permit. Ecology would use the formal evaluation process to comment on the permit application and
- 15 identify data gaps or deficiencies. The Permittees would correct any gaps or deficiencies. Then Ecology
- would start drafting permit conditions and requirements.
- 17 Ecology must issue the Hanford site-wide permit before the Permittees submit the needed information for
- 18 the IDF. Therefore, we are establishing permit conditions to characterize groundwater flow direction.
- 19 Ecology will use the results of this characterization to determine the down gradient Point of Compliance.
- The groundwater monitoring wells will be located as close as possible to the Point of Compliance. This
- 21 initial characterization normally would take place during the IDF permit application development process.
- 22 But Ecology did not recognize until recently that we lacked accurate flow direction information.
- 23 After this characterization, the Permittees will submit for approval a revised Groundwater Monitoring
- 24 Plan meeting all of the requirements of <u>WAC 173-303-645</u>. Addendum D, the Groundwater Monitoring
- 25 Plan for IDF, is attached for informational purposes only. It is the April 9, 2006, version of Chapter 5 of
- the former IDF permit.
- 27 Condition III.11.W requires the Permittees to monitor the groundwater in accordance with the approved
- 28 revised Addendum D. Condition III.11.C.6 requires the Permittees to create and maintain a modeling
- 29 Risk Budget Tool. The tool will model the future impacts of the planned IDF waste forms and their
- impact to the underlying vadose zone and groundwater.
- 31 The Permittees must use the Risk Budget Tool in a manner consistent with state and federal requirements.
- 32 The tool must represent a cumulative risk analysis of all waste already disposed in both the RCRA and
- 33 non-RCRA cells of the IDF, and those wastes expected to be disposed in the future. If modeling indicates
- results within 75% of any performance standard, Ecology and the Permittees will meet to discuss
- 35 mitigation measures.

#### 36 UNIT-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

- 37 Soil Stabilization
- 38 Soil erosion may occur at IDF while it is in "pre-active life" status. Condition III.11.S.7 requires the
- 39 Permittees to apply soil stabilization materials in and around the landfill as needed to prevent soil erosion.

#### 40 RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING

- 41 The Permittees will comply with recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to all IDF
- 42 dangerous waste management units and waste management activities. Conditions for recordkeeping are
- based on WAC 173-303-380. Condition III.11.D requires the Permittees to include the following
- information in the Hanford Facility Operating Record, IDF File:

- 1 1. A description of and quantity of each dangerous and mixed waste accepted for disposal by IDF and documentation of its disposal.
- 2. A three-dimensional location of and quantity of waste in each waste container or canister disposed of in IDF. The location of each waste container or canister may be recorded on a map or diagram of the mixed/low-level waste IDF cell, or recorded as geographical coordinates that can be used to relate to specific locations within an IDF cell.
- 7 3. The records and results of any sampling or analysis of wastes accepted for disposal at IDF, and from any other sampling and analysis required by Addendum B, Waste Analysis Plan.
- 4. A copy of the notice and the certification and demonstration if applicable, required by a generator or the owner or operator of a treatment facility from which waste is accepted for disposal at IDF.

#### 11 **SECURITY**

- 12 IDF is in Hanford's secured area. Access to the unit is subject to the general security provision of
- 13 Condition II.L. IDF Addendum E describes security measures, equipment, and warning signs for the unit.
- 14 These requirements satisfy the security requirements of WAC 173-303-310.

#### 15 PREPAREDNESS AND PREVENTION

- During the pre-active phase, no dangerous waste will go to the IDF. Therefore, it is reasonable to
- designate IDF as an "Administrative Facility" or non-hazardous facility as defined in the Hanford
- 18 Emergency Management Plan (DOE/RL-94-02).
- During the pre-active life phase, emergency management would be the same as for an administrative
- 20 facility. Facility Emergency Response Information Boards provide information for use in an emergency.
- 21 The Permittees will maintain the boards and keep them current.
- In addition, the U.S. Department of Energy's *Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures*
- 23 "Recognizing and Classifying Emergencies" (DOE-0223), establishes the emergency response actions
- for non-hazardous facilities. The building warden assigned by the Permittees manages and controls the
- 25 initial response in an emergency.
- The Permittees will comply with IDF Addendum E (Security), Addendum F (Preparedness and
- 27 Prevention), and Addendum J (Contingency Plan and Emergency Response).

#### 28 **CONTINGENCY PLAN**

- 29 The Permittees will comply with Addendum J in addition to the requirements of the Condition II.A when
- 30 applicable.

#### 31 **INSPECTIONS**

- 32 Condition III.11.H and IDF Addendum I reduce required inspections from those of an operating facility.
- They are designed to ensure the IDF retains its integrity as a waste disposal site. The Permittees have
- developed a procedure specifically for the pre-active life phase to guide IDF personnel on inspection
- 35 requirements.

#### 36 TRAINING

- During the pre-active life phase, no dangerous waste will go to the IDF, and workers will not need as
- much training. Requirements for training during the IDF's custodial care phase will be limited to HGET
- 39 and IDF unit-specific orientation. Condition III.11.I requires the Permittees to include the training
- 40 requirements of Addendum G of Unit 11 into a written training plan as required by Condition II.C.
- The Permittees will comply with WAC 173-303-330 requirements for a training plan and training records.

- 1 If the Permittees bring materials or chemicals into the IDF for maintenance, properly trained personnel
- will handle any spills or releases. The Permittees will follow Tank Farm Contractor and Analytical
- 3 Technical Services documents for guidance. These documents are TFC-OPS-OPER-D-01, "Event
- 4 Notification," and TFC-ESHQ-ENV-FS-C-01, "Environmental Notifications."

#### CLOSURE

5

23

26

27

28

- 6 Ecology found during the application review that the application did not meet one of the minimum
- 7 technical requirements of WAC 173-303-806(4)(h)(v). That requirement is for submittal of appropriate,
- 8 detailed plans and engineering report for the final closure cap. The Permittees provided a generic
- 9 description of what the closure cap may look like in Addendum H. Ecology commented on this
- deficiency during the application process. The Permittees explained that it would be better to design the
- 11 cover closer to the time of cover placement, to use improved knowledge of disposed waste, its hazard, and
- the most current requirements of <u>WAC 173-303-806</u>.
- 13 To support the IDF construction schedule, Ecology agreed to this approach based on WAC 173-303-
- 14 806(4)(a): "...If owners and operators of TSD facilities can demonstrate that the information prescribed in
- 15 Part B cannot be provided to the extent required, the department may make allowance for submission of
- such information on a case-by-case basis."
- 17 <u>WAC 173-303-815(3)(a)</u> allows the department to establish compliance schedules: "The permit may,
- when appropriate, specify a schedule of compliance leading to compliance with this chapter."
- Based on WAC 173-303-806 and 815, Ecology established two conditions to ensure the closure cap will
- 20 have sufficient review both by the agency and the public.
- 21 Condition III.11.K.4.a defines requirements for the Landfill Cap. At final closure of the landfill, the
- Permittees will cover the landfill with a final cover (closure cap) designed and constructed to:
  - Provide long-term minimization of migration of liquids through the closed landfill.
- Function with minimum maintenance.
- Promote drainage and minimize erosion or abrasion of the cover.
  - Accommodate settling and subsidence so that the cover's integrity is maintained.
  - Have a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any bottom liner system or natural sub soils present.
- 29 Condition III.11.K.4.b defines the Compliance Schedule. The proposed conceptualized final cover design
- 30 is presented in Addendum H (Closure and Financial Assurance). Six months before start of construction
- of the IDF landfill final cover (but no later than 6 months before acceptance of the last shipment of waste
- at the IDF), the Permittees will submit the IDF landfill final cover design, specifications and a
- 33 Construction Quality Assurance Plan (CQA) Plan to Ecology for review and approval. No construction
- 34 of the final cover may proceed until Ecology approval of the final design is given, through a permit
- 35 modification.
- 36 The Permittees will notify Ecology at least 60 calendar days before it expects to begin closure of the IDF
- landfill in accordance with WAC 173-303-610(3)(c).

#### 38 POST-CLOSURE

- Following certification of closure according to the closure plan in Addendum H, the Permittees will start
- 40 post-closure care and maintenance. The post-closure care requirements for IDF are based on WAC 173-
- 41 303-665(6).

#### 42 REQUESTED VARIANCES OR ALTERNATIVES

There are no requested variances or alternatives for the IDF.

# STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA)

2 The SEPA determination for the IDF is in the Hanford-Wide Permit Fact Sheet.

3 4 5

1



This page intentionally left blank.

